

thank you everyone for joining, we'll be starting shortly shortly..

>> Thanks, Anna. Hello and welcome to prioritization, promising practices for educating others about the importance of disability prioritizing and state vaccination plans. My name is Cassandra Thompson. This webinar series is brought to you by the association of university centers on doesn't or Auce and the national association of county and city health officials. We We would like to thank everyone for joining us today. Because of the number of apartments your audio will be muted throughout the call, however you can submit questions at any point via the Q&A box in your webinar console. We have cart captioning available and if you would like to access it please click the CC button.

There is also an American sign language interpreter in this session. You can click view on the top right corner to adjust your speaker viewing preferences. To help set the stage for understanding the origin of these webinars our colleagues held listening sessions for a variety of jurisdictions across the U.S. to find out the challenges and consecutively solutions each were reaching with the digits population. Also partnering -- to amplify what they learned from those sessions and hosted is it jurisdictions to talk with you about what their practices have been to you may be able the apply theseed ideas to your state. So we can start hearing about successes. We will be hearing from Andy Imparato from the disability rights California, Raivo Murnieks from the Ohio department of developmental disabilityies, Laura Sorn also from the Ohio department of disabilityies. Jacqueline sharp from the Tennessee council on developmental dentals and Mya Lewis from the North Carolina department of health and Human Services. So with that I will turn it over to Andyings.

>> Great. Thank you Cassandra. Can you hear me okay.

>> Yes.

>> Great. So hi, welcome, everyone, I'm honored to be part of this webinar. I've My name is Andy Imparato and I'm the disability director at doesn't rights California which is a federally funded protection advocacy agency for people with disabilityies in California. I'm also a member of California California's community vaccine advisory committee and President Biden's COVID-19 health equity task force. But I'll be primarily talking about California today. So when I think about promising practices and prioritizing people with disabilityies for vaccinations the story of California has been kind of uneven but moveing in a good direction, so one positive thing before the holidays the state decideed to create a community vaccine advisory committee as a way to get regular input from stakeholders, December to help them make hard decisions around vaccine prioritization and to help reach different populations populations who might be hesitant to take the vaccine, so it was kind of both purposes and I would live lift that up as a promising practice in part a because they have very diverse group of folks viding them including four of us who are primarily comeing from the disability community, not only disability rights California but our state council on developmental disabilityiest California foundation for independent liveing centers were all represented on our advisory committee. And the state started out like a lot of states saying that they wanted high Rick risk people to be a priority for the vaccines after the did they did some other priority populations first including folks who were liveing in nursing homes and front line health care workers.

Then in late January the state decided the prioritization system was too difficult to administer so they wanted a simpler system and they were planning to do everybody over 65 as the next priority category and to wait until everybody over 65 had been offered the vaccine before reach they reached high risk part-time under 65 and we were very concerned about that and we expressed the concern to the state in part with the help of our secretary of health and human services and the governor. The state changed their position and announced in the middle of February that they were going to prioritize high risk people with disabilities starting on March 15.

Then the real issue was how do I define a high risk person with disabilities under 65 and we advocated successfully with the state to include both big categories of people that we know are high risk by virtue of the category that they are in and a catch all category of anybody who could make an individual showing that they were high risk, so the big categories that the state of California decided to include and this was announced just before we started moving to the high risk populations on March 15, included everybody who gets services from a home and community based waiver program under Medicaid in California, we call one of those big programs programs our in-home supportive services program, and also everybody with an intellectual or developmental disability who's over 16 and who receives services from a regional center which is how our service delivery system is set up in California.

And then the state also announced that people could self-attest that they were in a high risk category because of their underlying disability and they would not have to submit medical proof of that. The people doing the vaccinations could take self-attestation as enough proof that somebody is in a high risk category. That last position that the state took but based on our collective view from the disability community that self-attestation was better than requiring medical evidence. We were very concerned if why did require medical evidence it would create equity problems and just getting to a doctor and take taking the risk associated with going to a doctor during a pandemic. And the interestingly the California medical association completely agreed with us. They did not want doctors to be in a position of having to provide this medical evidence during the pandemic, so there was a confluence of the disability rights position and the position of physicians in California. So again, promising practices, we feel good about where the state ended up. We would have liked to have gotten there before March 15 but we were glad to get there on March 15, and now the state is in the process of trying to work to get the vaccine to people can with disabilities where they are, so the regional centers are partnering with a number of different partners to go vaccines to people with intellectual and developmental disabilities in a targeted way. We're also trying to make sure the generic ways of getting vaccines are accessible including accessibility of the websites.

One of the challenges we're having with our statewide website is it times out as people are filling in their information and for some folks with disabilities they need more time to fill in the information; so that's something that we have alerted the state to and are trying to work with them on.

The state has been partnering with independent living center centers and there's a lot of other outreach that's hang in rural area asks other part of the state.

So it's very much of a work in progress. Our governor has announced that starting on April 1,

everybody over 50 is eligible, so I'm actually -- I'm 55 and I'm personally get getting my figures first vaccine today this afternoon, and then starting on April 15 everybody who's over 16 will be eligible for the vaccine, so like a lot of states we're move moving quickly to broad eligibility and then we're doing targeted outreach and trying to make sure that our vaccination sites are fully accessible. So I'm looking forward to the Q&A and I will stop there. Thank you.

>> Thank you so much, Andy. I really appreciate your exempt exemptions. Now we will have Raivo Murnieks from the Ohio department of developmental disabilities.

>> Good afternoon. Or good morning. or wherever you are across the United States. My name is Raivo Murnieks, I'm a chief strategy officer with the Ohio department of developmental disabilities and I'm honored to share Ohio's journey and experience with our vaccine strategy. Appreciate Andy's comments and especially with the outreach efforts that are really critical as we address in Ohio, I'll be speaking about the intellectual developmental disability population. The meetings I would like to focus on is really that Ohio's I think success in helping address vaccine rollout to this population is really that we're state supervised county administered state. We have our executive director reports directly to the governor so having that direct line really helped us -- we data planning, focus and coordination was critical at the outset at a time when we didn't even know when the vaccine would be available. Fortunately it came in December. We were already planning in November hearing from advocacy groups, sharing tangible data and information. Obviously "New York Times" did some very in-depth articles about the high risk nature of people with intellectual disabilities and using that information sharing it with Ohio's vaccine preparedness office early in November helped us get into the priority of phase one for congregate for individuals residing in congregate settings as well as their staff. And in the in the case I will share with you in more detail what we describe as congregate settings, data sharing also occurred, they were integral. We recognized from the getgo that their relationships with local health departments was crucial in helping us execute the administration of vaccine with many of these individuals with mobility issues, we knew that that was going to be a factor, and the governor's priority, governor Mike Dewine's priority is really about saving lives lives, reducing hospitalizations hospitalizations and balancing that with equity. So getting into the phase one where we prioritize, we identified 17,500 individuals residing in the thousand state licensed settings and 3800 non-licensed settings. We have 425 intermediate care facilities and 600 plus waiver homes. And the licensed waiver homes usually even though the majority are four plus, there are several license waiver homes if they are part of a larger network that may only have two or three people are people residing in. Two or three participated in the federal pharmacy program that was actually addressed through practical partnership that they had in our state, CVS and Walgreens and absolutely pharmacy. Our advantage was through our licensed settings we had the intimate knowledge at the state level of who resides in which facility and were able to share that information with our county boards, developmental disabilities so an execution needed to occur in administering. We knew who the settings were and who the contacts were at those settings so that these health departments could go out there and coordinate clinics for those that had the -- did not

have mobility issue. For the non-license settings that was more challenging but our strategy of addressing early in December, sharing our match lists, we started with that. All 88, we had pretty much 85 out of 88 responded in a week's time verifying the lists, we did not include families in that phase on a one, but for people in two plus settings we did. And ultimately we know at the state level the challenge for us having decentralized data we did not have the advantage of knowing the apartment buildings that some people may reside in but that's where the local partnerships came in.

And really the coordination between our providers and county boards really was integral in making this happen. Vaccine was allocated for both staff. We estimated at a three to one ratio, so then what wound up happening once we provided the numbers to the vaccine preparedness office their allocations with your allocations were based on the information we provided them and the lists were shared concurrently with both the local health departments and the county boards of developmental disabilities to make this execution, and I would say it went very smoothly.

I think there may have been only one hiccup where one part of thought they were part of the program and there was a delay in getting them the vaccine because of that Samsung.

Other than that this is probably in public service, my 25 plus years, this is probably one of the most rewarding experiences I've had in helping people in this time of pandemic. I'm going to -- obviously going first had its advantages. But also hesitancy is something that has to be considered as well so I'm going to turn it over to my colleague our medical director Dr. Laura Sorg.

>> Good morning, or good afternoon everyone and thank you very much Raivo for kicking off those slides. So as Raivo mentioned my name is Dr. Laura Sorg and I have the privilege of serving Ohio as the medical director for the Ohio department of developmental disabilities. I'm also a practicing family physician for the past 14 years and a proud autism mom. So my role as medical director is one of health but also advocacy and that's where I think all of your important roles probably really shined - - excuse me shone throughout all of this. So I'd like to highlight the important roles of those throughout Ohio and how they truly served as advocates during this time. We did a lot of planning, which was again Raivo's forte and his group. He did a lot of outreach and as Raivo mentioned our director Jeff Davis has a cabinet level position with the governor's office with Governor Dewine, and so I think that level of outreach was just remarkable during this time. He feels able to highlight different journal articles, excuse me, from again, throughout the world. Things published in the "New York Times" to make sure that the governor was aware and able to advocate for those with developmental disability disabilities. We then also as Raivo said addressed vaccine hesitancy and I'm sure that was probably evident across the U.S. in many of your own states. We have people that were excited, surprised to be at the front of the line with their developmental disability, but they were also a little hesitant, and so we tried to address that in a variety of ways. We looked at education and outreach and we wanted to make sure to target specific audiences and also make sure that we were able to again, advocate for them in the way that they needed to be advocated for. So we looked at things from an audio-visual standpoint from messaging, also looked at, again, other ways through a communication with county boards, with individuals in natural supports. So we started to do some target audiences with a variety of three webinars as well as other messaging and looked at individual

individuals, again those congregate care settings of two or more which is a pretty unique definition, family and natural supports, direct service professionals and we also looked at our county boards and other stakeholders. And so what had I E-emerged early in the pandemic as all of us are going to Zoom like we're doing today was weekly coordination meetings meetings, and these weekly coordination meetings which were the same time every Wednesday allowed very smooth transition and smooth communication between all of these different groups. So we had county boards who obviously with their SS SSAs again were on the ground, knew who was going on, we had representatives from self-advocacy groups like the ARC also looking at opera and also some of the groups representing intermediate care facilities. So we tried to look at everything and sit at the same table if you will virtually so we can talk through different problems, issues that came up. So we were able to engage those stakeholder groups representing the parties listed positive and it allowed again that ease of use, ongoing discussion, troubleshooting the processes processes,, ability to refine plans and clarify concerns concerns. So two concerns popped out to me and those were we had a lot of questions of what happens if someone is more of a home home bound individual? If they can't make it to a county board office or a city department of health.

And so trying to identify what do we do in those scenarios to get to the people where their at and get to the individual to make sure we have equitable vaccine distribution. We also found a little bit of a crunch with addressing our 16 to 18-year-old population. There were a number of counties or cities that maybe had Pfizer or Moderna so if one had Moderna they may not be able to vaccinate than a 17-year-old. So we were able then along with governor dewine and director day of the to advocate and have those individuals receive the vaccine at our different children's hospitals throughout the state. So again, those types of things were brought to the table, allowed people in different stakeholder groups to advocate and then it really enhanced ongoing communication efforts P one of the things that with recognized is it's wonderful to be able to have all these seats seats at the table, to have voices at the table and to be able to use that momentum through the pandemic but afterwards in order to enhance the lives of those with disabilities. So thank you so much for having us today and I look forward to the questions.

>> Thank you so much for sharing, Ohio.

Next we are got hear from Kristin a Ren Ahrens.

>> Thank you so much for having me. I have a couple of slides to share as well. And the story for us in terms of prioritizing people with disabilities and caregivers in our vaccine distribution efforts is a story of Dade data,, data, data data. You heard reference to the "New York Times", the data they had collected which showed the extraordinary vulnerability for people with intellectual disability to contracting COVID can to death from COVID. So we had started when the pandemic began, we looked t a way to collect data that gave us as close to realtime information for the people enrolled in home and community based services and for intermediate care services in Pennsylvania. And part of the need for that was really so that we had situational awareness so that we could intervene and support providers wherever the outbreak were happening. So we looked at the systems we already had in place for quick data exchange with providers. So we added into our

incident management system a way to collect information on COVID infections for individuals built into another system that we have that providers were already reporting in and very familiar with A quick way for providers to report staff COVID infections. What came of this is not only did we have data to be -- have that situational awareness to be doing interventions and managing outbreaks throughout our community system, it also provided us when it came time for policy making P when it came time to make decisions about Pennsylvania's vaccine allocation we had very good data that showed that people that were receiving support in our community, our licensed community homes in Pennsylvania, that primarily means homes that are one to four persons so they're quite small but between our community homes and our intermediate care facilities that we had very high rates of COVID contraction and very high mortality rates some this -- we were able to provide this to our department of health and through the use of that data showing the vulnerability of our whole population to COVID, we were able to get unpaid care givers, a so all direct support professionals regardless of the setting they worked in into one A. We got all participants in our program that received services in a congregate setting including those small on congregate settings in one A, everyone else that received services through our system, again given the data we could show the level of contraction and the risk for people, we were able to get everyone that was known to our system into the priority for one B. So I think for us the kind of takeaway in addition to having really good foundation for that prioritization within our plan was that we next steps as we are thinking about through an after action review for this pandemic, how do we have this -- these data collection system built in a way that we can start immediately -- we missed three, four weeks at the beginning of the pandemic so not bad but I think we can anticipate this will not be the only public health emergency that we need to respond so, so we are in the process of building the reporting system that will be able to collect that data immediately that we'll be able to quickly change that data collection system so that we always have that data for that situational response support immediately situation at hand but also to looking went we do have policy making decisions decisions when we need input, again, the data was absolutely invaluable. And you can go ahead to the next slide here. The other piece that we were asked to highlight from Pennsylvania was how we educated people about about -- essentially what was in the vaccine plan, and I'm guessing Pennsylvania's plan was like most states we all worked from a template from the federal government that covered a lot of areas related to vaccine AI occasion and distribution, and when we looked at how the vaccine prioritize sayings group fell out it's pretty complicated. Within a phase you have multiple groups within a phase and then you have really discrete levels of specificity within different industries because we all knew that the availability of vaccine in that early part of the pandemic was so limited. So really a complicated plan probably like most states so one of the areas that got lost in all of that initially was that unpaid caregivers were in there. They were clearly in phase one A with all our direct support professionals so how do we get that word to vaccine provide providers provide providers, how do we make sure that unpaid caregivers are aware of that and how do you document that. Initially our vaccine [indiscernible] were looking for an employee past or relationship with Boyer or -- so the commonwealth developed a letter essentially from our secretary explaining for vaccine providers that unpaid caregivers fell into prioritization group

one A and that care give that care caregivers could go to our department of Human Services website and produce that as documentation that they are an unpaid caregiver and fell into one A. So I think that's been helpful in trying to make sure that unpaid caregivers are getting to the front of the line. What I would say where we are now, Pennsylvania's at -- we're getting to very close to half of our adult population is vaccinated. And what we are finding that will be that we have for our population, our objective is that everyone that is known to us has access to the vaccine. We're getting to a broader place of access, we will have as of next week, we will be opening up into phase two so basically all adults in Pennsylvania will have access to the vaccine, so do we how do we make sure that people who have those additional barriers to getting vaccine have that availability. And so we're going to be working through our support coordinators to make sure that they have whatever support they need to get to -- if they haven't been able to go to a closed clinic, that we can get people to a vaccine provider in that you are area, but it really does take that personal touch to get over the hesitancy torques get hesitancy to get a vaccine.

>> Thank you so much, the Kristin for your comments and for your leadership. Now we will have a Jolene Sharp from the Tennessee council on developmental disabilities.

>> Hi. Good afternoon, everyone. I'm really glad to be here with you today. So I'm going to talk about this from a different role. The Tennessee council on developmental disabilities we are a system change organization within state government so we're not delivering direct services which means our perspective on this is a little different than the agencies actually responsible for the distribution of vaccine. So as we were entering into the vaccine distribution plan, we took a hard look at what our best role was going to be. So I'm going to talk about how we were able to assist but I'm going to give a little background. Tennessee was the first state to prioritize people with disabilities were vaccine group one A1. And there were a few reasons that we were able to do that. One of the key factors was and I think this has been mentioned previously, we have a cabinet level developmental disability agency in TB, so Tennessee's department of intellectual disabilities was in the room it would table where decisions were being made about vaccine prioritization and we were able to speak at that table about the need for prioritizing people with IDD so we were in there in that discussion helping to make those decisions and that obviously makes a huge difference, that representation at that I believe table.

so Tennessee made the decision of people with intellectual and developmental disabilities to that group one A1 on December 27. So as you can imagine it wasn't hard for people to miss that information. It was published on page 15 of a 52 page state distribution plan and it wasn't written in plain language, there were some math symbols that caused some confusion about the age bracket that this supply applied to and within three days the council was starting to hear from our council members and our network of partners that there was a lot of confusion about this. And in addition to the community itself having confusion, we were hearing -- we were beginning to hear stories of people with intellectual and developmental disabilities who were now eligible who were being turned away because that information just hadn't yet penetrated down to where those vaccines were being delivered. So the council decided to pitch in that we knew that our department of health and

DIDD folks were so busy with the logistics that we offered to step in and we developed a two page front and back document that was just a real quick memo that pulled the information out about eligibility for people with ID and put it in very plain language, and we then shared that with Asians and they approved it and we were able to use the department of health and DIDD logos to give it that full weight and credibility and they actually helped distribute that to people in services services but also to the local health department which was really important to make sure folks delivering the vaccines knew about the changes to the eligibility criteria.

so this memo was something families could print out and take with them but it was also being contributed to the department of health channels channels. So that immediately helped to clear up some of the confusion about who was eligible. Because the council is kind of in this bridge role where we can help connect folks in the field who are living these daily experiences with the bigger cabinet level agencies western able to stay in touch with our network of folks and that gave us a window into where were the gaps, where were the issues on the ground. Who still wasn't covered by the changes in eligibility and really needed to be added. So over the course of January through March the distribution plan in Tennessee was updated three more times. So in January direct support professionals were added and then in February caregivers of children who were categorized as medically fragile were added candidate criteria was described in detail. It had to do with kids with significant health risks. And so we again, distributed a memo with the department of health and the DIDD logos on it for each of these changes and then in March the last update that we made and that was based on specific feedback that we at the council got from the field, American sign language interpreters were added in March as a priority group. And for each of those groups we helped develop a plain language memo that people could take with them to their appointments and that was also sent to those local health departments and vaccine distributors. So we used a few other strategies because the other issue that we were seeing was that when the focus was on communicating with people about eligibility it was on the reaching people who were actually receiving state services and we know that the national statistics suggest that really only about 20 percent of people with disabilities are getting services so another question that was coming up was how do you make sure you're getting clear information to that other 80 percent of people so that they know they're eligible, and you know can go and get vaccinated also. So we worked really hard to use social media tools. We developed very short graphic Q&As that could be easily shareable, just bite sized information about who was eligible, answering some questions about where to go and we used social media to distribute that and that helps folks share them to a broader network beyond those who may already be connected to services and of course our state partners helped continue get that word out through the department of health platforms so we really worked that way to get information out to the broader community. And we will be continuing to work now as our state has broadened it out to all adults we'll be working very hard along with I know many of you in every other state on overcoming that vaccine hesitancy with clear plain language communication, making it a priority to talk to people with intellectual and developmental disabilities and reach that broader community beyond those who are already connected through



services. And I think that's it for me and I'll pass the baton back.

>> Thank you so much, Jolene for sharing your insights.

Last but not at least we'll have Mya Lewis from the North Carolina department of health and Human Services.

>> Thank you. Again, my name is Mya Lewis and I'm with the North Carolina division of mental health deputy developmental addicts and substance abuse services. And I'm going to speak mainly to how North Carolina educated and shared our vaccine prioritization so that individuals and families were aware of when it was their opportunity to as you can see on my screen, their opportunity to take their shot. So from the beginning again, North Carolina we had some very intentional and ongoing consistent stakeholder engagement with our community around the elements of a vaccine distribution. And for the public what we did, we have with a we call, again, find your spot and take advantage take your shot which is a website that we have that is in English and also in Spanish that provides information on the vaccine prioritize sayings as well as access to information about where a person can go to receive their vaccine, it's actually an interactive tool so individuals are able to go answer some questions about the prior -- that's used to let them know where they fall in that prior priority. So because in North Carolina we have the opportunity to engage with our stakeholders through phone calls, provider convenience, individual and family convenience, we use those opportunities throughout the whole entire pandemic thus far to provide updates to families, to provide updates on what was happening, where we were in the vaccine process and where we are as a whole related to the pandemic.

Anything in addition to that we have very vocal stakeholders so they pointed out some things when we weren't clear on where individuals with disabilities fell within that prioritization, if it said that someone who had medical needs needs needs were eligible we had folks who raised their hand and said does that also mean. So we clarified along the way things related to prioritize sayings and expressly note that this included individuals with disabilities as part of the feedback that we heard from our stakeholders. In addition to the website recognizing that not everyone may have access to the website we also have a COVID vaccine help center or call center where individuals can make a phone call, talk to a person and get their questions answered about again, is it my opportunity to take my shot. And so that so that has been also very useful for those who may not have access to the internet. Also want to point out some of the things where with those provider conveniences and having engagement with our local community providers, associations Texas councils, faith communities I cannot express the importance of having those relationships and working with those partners to make sure that accurate communication has been shared with the community. So it was those faith communities, those provider organizations, those other community partners that helped to make sure that individuals and families and just the community in general, not just disability groups and disability partners but just the community in general were aware of where we were in the vaccination distribution process to make sure people knew where to go can how to access the vaccine when it was their opportunity. We've taken the opportunity to have weekly meetings with our partners who are vaccine providers to make sure we are still focused and ensure there's equitable distribution

of the vaccine with the historically marginal marginalized populations and that includes disability groups and inclusive of working with leadership to again make sure that we are community indicating communicateing as besting we can through our website, through tweets and posts because we're in an age with millennials and even my mom, following tweets and getting information that way. So we do that as well. And we assess, we don't just say we've done it, we assess to make sure that the audience that we're trying to reach and makeing sure the word is getting out there is actually getting out to the audience that we're wanting to make sure has this information. So we seas, we regroup and we'll continue to do so. So I think when I think about best practices and how in North Carolina we have done our best to make sure people are aware of their opportunity because they have a spot to take their shot cannot stress enough the state and community partnerships to help support getting that information out to the masses. So thank you again for the opportunity and I am available for questions.

>> Thank you so much, Mya. I really appreciateed your comments on createing partnerships with diverse communitiyies. And thank you so much to all the speakers. Now we'll go to the Q&A. The first question is, if COVID has been a national emergency, why do you states have different plans? Why can't all states have the same kind of plans? And I think this is for all of the speakers, if anyone has any insight so into that.

>> This is Andy, I'm happy to go first. You know, from my perspective sitting in Sacramento, California, it would have been nice to have had stronger leadership from the CDC and the federal government early in the vaccine deployment or really before we started deploying vaccines where the CDC cowl help cold could help the states understand what was out there. The CDC came one a list of conditions that where they considered they had scientific evidence were high risk or bad consequences if they got COVID.

The CDC said that they never intended that list to be used for vaccine prioritization but many states did use it for vaccine prioritization and it was an underinclusive list.

So I think it would have helped to have stronger leadership from the CDC. We knew the vaccines were comeing for months and I I don't understand why we didn't get stronger leadership from the federal government which I think California and other states would have appreciateed.

>> Appreciate that Andy.

Do any other panelists have anything else to say about that before we move on? All right. The next question I think is for Laura and Raivo. Can you explain how local health departments were involveed in working with the county boards boards to vaccinate? Did they play a role in setting up clinics, et cetera.

>> Go ahead, Dr. Sorg, we're giggig because we're trying the read each other's body language, I think it's an excellent question. With a we did is with though Wednesday stakeholder meetings we were able then to work with the Ohio county board association when we discussed that with, again, the DD world of bolder board associations. It's confuseing because we also have county boards of health and city boards of health so often those terms would get interchangeed: we did rely on or working with the department overhaste at the state level as well as the interworkings with the OACB so that Ohio

association of county boards when we talk about individuals with DD or ID. And their individual relationships. Often times times we found that the strength of these relationships in the months and years even prior, first of all in the months prior to to the vaccine during the pandemic and in years past really made a big difference and so it's hard for us to imagine anything prior to a year ago because it feels like we've been living in the time of COVID forever but back if in 2008, 2009, there was the H1N1 flu pandemic but there were AI effort also efforts at that time in order for vaccines to be districted. So we often times use the strength of those preexisting relationships and if there were any kind of sticking points we were able to on the state level kind of negotiate or figure out those sticking points with our county and regional teams.

>> Area, can I tell you that several of the county boards had preexisting relationshiping and actually sat on their local health planning. And even leading up to the vaccine distribution, many of them were involveed in the emergency planning when PPE was the thing that have critical in spring of last year. So many of them had pre-existing relationship relationships to local health departments, went into the homes of individuals when called upon, they had the address addresses, so I think and then where relationships didn't exist they were kind of fostered and forged.

>> Thank you so much for those comments. The next question is for all of the panelists. What strategyies have been utilizeed for getting vaccines to those that are truly home bound and liveing in non-life-threatening injurised living arrangements?

>> So I was going to take that one as a physician if that's okay, at least for Ohio. So in Ohio again, utilizeing those already existing relationships or fostered relationships that happened again, kind of organically through the the COVID pandemic, often types county and city boards of health actually reached out to county boards of DD and said who is truly home bound. And they also reached out to individual physicians that were community baseed physicians and would call them and say who do you know that is truly home bound, do you know their address, their best contact information. So that was one way and there was also a lot of grassroots advocacy amongst individuals and families saying hey we need help, maybe whether that is a physical, part of a disability or if that was an intellectual disability that made it different to get out to go to a pharmacy or wait if in line at a county board, they were able to foster those and truly reach people in their own homes. Some of the different area areas throughout the state even have mobile units where they actually took what looks like an RV can went door-to-door so prettying pretty unique and interesting can deft helpful during the pandemic.

>> So this is Mya but just to add to that question. So in North Carolina, we actually engageed with those home health agencyies, those agencyies that we know would engage with individuals who may be homebound, so we engageed with over 220 different provideers to help identify those individuals who are actually home bound, so our veterans administration organizations, aging community, home health. You name I want it was a provideer that we engageed with to identify those individuals S then from that information that we learn learned we worked and partnered with them to get vaccine to them in their home which was the most ideal way to do that.

And for those that did no, it work we provideed partnerships with transportation to support them getting to vaccine event events that may have been happening in their community. Thank you.

>> Thank you so much, Mya and Laura.

>> For Pennsylvania we are still working on the solution for that. Part of that is we're still in the final process of identifying all of those individuals throughout the department of human services and then I think the approach that we're looking at is to look at mapping all of those individuals regardless of program that they're involved in, whether it's through services because they're aging or because they have an intellectual disability, winter a large rural state and we have large urban areas but we AI have really large rural areas so there are obviously challenges that come with both of them. And we have some solution solutions, we have a county department of health that is using their emergency management to go out and do home visit visits for individuals who cannot leave to go to a clinic, but for the remainder to have the is state we are working to get solution solutions solutionses oh. Thank you so much. Are there any specific or unique considerations regarding vaccine for dually eligible individuals with disability? And this is for all the panelists.

>> can I ask a clarifying question? Dually eligible, mean meaning Medicare and Medicaid?

>> I think so.

>> If Pennsylvania we have a community health choices run through our department that does have a program for people who are dually eligible. They -- we had asked, it's a managed care program and we asked the managed care organizations to go through and identify all the of their highest risk individuals and then we worked with a pharmacy partner, established clinics, closed point of clinics and then worked to get people to that including supporting them with transportation, et cetera, so that's one way we have tried to get to that podges. The sec way is now all of those managed care organizations and our managed care organizations that serve our physical disabilities and aging population are now pair withed with vaccine provideers to make sure that the entirety to have that population has access to vaccine.

>> Thank you so much, Kristin, I don't know Laura if you were going to give an answer to this one as well.

>> Hi.

What I was going to say is again, it was just that clarify clarifying question of dually eligible, if it was Medicaid Medicare. From a dually eligible if that person intended to be dually eligible based on the presence of ID, dd plus and other qualifying condition regarding health that is something that I no several states that are on the panel today had done and in Ohio that was something that was done very clearly in January where individuals that were dually eligible from a developmental disability standpoint with a high risk condition such as epilepsy, they were the placed at the front of the line because of the double risk of two high risk conditions.

>> Thank you so much, Laura, I appreciate that. Ing looks like we have time for one more question. Did agencies have to ask each home bound person before giving them their name and address to the public health departments? This is for anyone who answered on the home bound question.

>> So again, I'm not sure what others were doing. For our personal use in the state if departments of health contacted local DD, again, boards or contacted physicians, that board physician or other representative in turn asked first if that makes sense prior to giving out the information.

So it was asked to make sure that it was okay to release that info prior to democratic.

>> And because it has a public health emergency, emergency and our departments of health served the role of the vaccine, we were able to share information on that level as well. .

>> Can't hear you.

>> Thank you, and thank you very much Laura and Raivo. As we close out we do have a couple of announcements.

We are excited to report that the White House and the department of health and Human Services announced that CDC and S oh,CL will partner together to issue \$98 million in the grants to every state and territory to provide critical services to overcome barrier that are preventing people can disabilities and older adults from receiving vaccines. Part of these funds will also support a national hotline to assist people can disabilities and older adults in finding for a vaccine and to connect them with local addicts and aging agency that be provide service askss and supports necessary to access them. For more information about's Covid-19 work wit their disability tool kit that includes vaccines for people can with disabilities and how vaccine sites can forecast on accessible solutioning solutions solutions. Thank you so much again for attend attending this webinar